




Early model of the new Holmes Field building.

What's Happening, Holmes?

Construction on New Building Continues

By Glennis Gill

For construction crews around campus, Frank Lamentea, Director of Building Operations told the RECORD, "it was a busy summer." Upon returning to campus this year, students found several changes and improvements in the facilities and grounds.

The most obvious work is currently in progress on the Holmes Field Building, the infamous hole in the ground. Much of the summer was spent blasting a tunnel underneath Langdell North to connect the new building and the main building. This accounts for the boarding up of the women's room in the tunnel under Langdell North. Lamentea projects that both the passage on

the Holmes Field side of Langdell and the women's room in the tunnel will be open by the beginning of December.

The "Holmes Field Construction News," describing the work for the coming week, particularly the noisy construction, is posted around campus for members of the HLS community. One of the unfortunate results of the construction is the elimination of the "triangle" sitting area outside of the Hark. Lamentea was worried when he knew the triangles, a major student hang-out and center of campus activity, were going to disappear. He said that "all Spring I was looking around for a place in the sun, in a path where people walk. The area in front of Pound Hall seemed to fit the bill."

Student reaction to the new

"Pound Plaza" has generally been positive, although some triangle devotees don't view the new plaza as a replacement. One 3L who often spent time at the triangles doesn't like the new plaza because "it's out of the way" and "it doesn't have the same ambiance." She commented that "I used to spend my life there and now I spend about five minutes a week." However, she does feel that "it's definitely better than if they hadn't done anything."

Other students are more enthusiastic about the new area; Jeanine Grachuk '95 thinks "it's a great place to hang out or do some light studying. It's really nice to be outdoors and it's the only location that feels really comfortable."

In addition to the Plaza, the interior of Pound received a new look with reupholstered furniture and cozier arrangements in the lounge areas.

All over campus recycling has become much easier and much more visible. Lamentea placed recycling stations, like the one put in the Hark last year, in the Pound and ILS tunnels. In addition, recycling bins were put in the classrooms, the hallways, and anywhere else that made sense, including the

please see *BUILDING*, page 14

CCR Suit Dismissed

By Todd Hartman '93

While most of the controversy surrounding Harvard Law School lay dormant for the summer, the struggle for faculty diversity continued unabated in the Massachusetts Supreme Court. On July 9, 1992, the state's highest court affirmed the dismissal of the HLS Coalition for Civil Rights' lawsuit against the fellows of Harvard College. The court upheld a 1991 Superior Court decision, ruling that the students lacked legal standing to sue Harvard University for alleged employment discrimination against prospective minority and women faculty members in violation of Massachusetts state law.

"The opinion fully upholds the dismissal of the students' lawsuit," said Allan A. Ryan Jr., attorney for Harvard. "We regard the lawsuit now as completely over."

While the lower court did

not judge the case on its merits, the Supreme Judicial Court found in favor of Harvard on the student's substantive claims, in addition to the procedural standing issue, making further legal options scarce.

"In our view, the students' suit was an effort to convert a number of issues being actively debated on campus into legal issues fit for resolution by the courts," Ryan added. "We do not believe that this debate belongs in the courtroom, and we are pleased that the highest court in Massachusetts evidently agrees." Despite the strong language of the court, CCR members remain optimistic.

"Certainly CCR is thinking about taking further legal action," said Julie Su '94, president of the Asian American Law Students Association. "A lot of things happened over the summer which tried to undo what we did last spring to which we have to respond."

please see *CCR*, page 14

Profs Come and Go

Wilkins Gets Tenure; 3 of 4 'White Men' Accept; 2 Women and 2 Men Added; Bell's Appeal Denied

By David Baker

While most students explored various career opportunities over the summer, the Harvard Law School faculty experienced some dramatic changes. David Wilkins '80, director of the HLS Program on the Legal Profession, was voted tenure and begins this academic year as a full professor.

Dean Robert C. Clark expressed enthusiasm for the appointment. He praised Wilkins as "a talented scholar and a fabulously gifted teacher." Clark added, "His expertise in civil procedure and legal ethics will help the school provide a solid legal foundation for the next generation of lawyers."

Wilkins, who has been an Assistant Professor at HLS

since 1986, has taught courses on civil procedure and legal profession, an area in which he has pioneered the country's first four-credit course.

"I am pleased and honored by the faculty's decision," said Wilkins. "In the coming years, I look forward to contributing to the school's effort to understand and improve the practice of law, to develop innovative approaches to teaching and research in the area of civil procedure, and to encourage interdisciplinary work by students and faculty."

In addition to the tenuring of Wilkins, professors Robert Mnookin, Joseph Singer and Joseph Weiler recently accepted the Law School's offers of tenure. These men comprise three-fourths of the infamous '4

please see *FACULTY*, page 15

Dersh in With Mia

By Lisa Zornberg

In the latest episode of "Legal Crises of the Rich and Famous," Professor Alan Dershowitz has reemerged in the national spotlight, this time as a player in the controversy surrounding Woody Allen and Mia Farrow. Allen, a leading film personality, is suing for custody of the one natural child and two adopted children he now shares with Farrow, a long-time companion who has acted in many of his films. Allen also faces charges from Farrow that he sexually abused their younger daughter. The greatest publicity of all has focused on Allen's acknowledgement that he is currently involved with Soon-Yi Farrow Previn, Farrow's college-aged adopted daughter.

To many, it comes as little surprise to find Harvard's own campus luminary involved in this latest drama. In recent years, Dershowitz has represented many of the controversial

figures of our time, including Michael Milken, Leona Helmsley, Mike Tyson, and Klaus Van Bulow. "Public scandal just wouldn't be the same without him," commented Jeff Bucholtz '95.

Yet Dershowitz's current role as Farrow's legal consultant and advisor is one that he himself did not anticipate. Initially, he expected to act as a neutral party: "I was called on August tenth by Mia Farrow, who told me the story, before it went public, about Woody Allen's relationship with her older daughter, and the allegations concerning her younger daughter. She asked me to help negotiate a resolution of this situation, not to act as a courtroom advocate."

Dershowitz, who knew both parties at the start, agreed to intercede only after experiencing serious reluctance. "Woody Allen has always been a cultural hero of mine," Dershowitz

please see *DERSH*, page 14



Prof. Alan Dershowitz.

Dean Wald Leaving Law School

By Lisa Zornberg

Dean of Students Sarah Wald has resigned her post at Harvard Law School to take a newly created position as Special Assistant to two University Vice-Presidents, John Shattuck and Sally Zeckhauser. During her five-year stay, she developed a unique rapport with both students and faculty, on a campus often fraught with political tension.

Dean Wald joined HLS in 1987, leaving a diverse background of government and legal involvement. After receiving her J.D. from Yale Law School, she clerked on the Second Circuit, worked for one year in employment discrimination law, spent three years at the Massachusetts Attorney General's office, and served four years as a cabinet officer in the

Massachusetts Office for Consumer Affairs. "I came to the Law School," Wald reflected, "with a government perspective — that it is important to hold administrative bodies accountable to their constituents, and that issues of importance to people's lives should be communicated in a way that makes the system work better."

As Dean of Students, Wald often translated this perspective into policy. Working with law students, she reorganized student groups, which more than redoubled to eighty-seven in her time here, and erected a budget guidelines system and the Student Funding Board. She codified the Law School's exam deferment policy, and established regular meetings with the head of the Law School Council and student leaders.

To many, Dean Wald's greatest

please see *WALD*, page 3



Dean Sarah Wald.

BAR/BRI FIRST YEAR REVIEW HELPS YOU MAKE THE GRADE

CIVIL PROCEDURE
CONTRACTS
REAL PROPERTY
TORTS
CRIMINAL LAW
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

BAR/BRI
BAR REVIEW

The Nation's Largest and Most Successful Bar Review.

1500 Broadway, New York, NY 10036
(212) 719-0200 • (800) 472-8899 • (201) 623-3363 • (203) 724-3910 • FAX: (212) 719-1421
20 Park Plaza, Suite 931, Boston, MA 02116
(617) 695-9955 • (800) 866-7277 • FAX: (617) 695-9386

McKINSEY & COMPANY, INC.
• MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS •

invites you to a presentation
and reception

CAREERS AT MCKINSEY: A PERSPECTIVE ON THE FIRM

Tuesday, September 22, 1992
at 6:00 PM

at the

Harvard Faculty Club

HLS Gets a Little TLC

Lewis '68 Gives \$3 Million Gift to Campaign

By Kurt Copenhagen

Reginald F. Lewis '68 is not the kind of person to make a big deal out of making the single largest individual contribution to the Harvard Law School coffers in the school's 175-year history, \$3 million. He also is not the type to make a big deal out of the fact that he will be the first African American to have a major facility at Harvard University named after him. He does not have to be that type of person. Others will trumpet his accomplishments for him.

On July 8, Dean Robert Clark announced that HLS has created the Reginald F. Lewis Foundation, using the \$3 million grant to establish the Reginald F. Lewis International Law Center. The Lewis Center, formerly known as the ILS building, the first major building in the 355 year history of Harvard University to be named in honor of an African American, serves as the main office of Harvard's Graduate Legal Studies Program, and home to the Law School's international library collection.

In addition to establishing the Lewis Center, a portion of the grant will create the Reginald F. Lewis Fund for International Study and Research. This fund will be used for faculty research, vis-

iting lectureships, graduate study and related activities including fellowships for students from the Third World and summer internships of Harvard Law students in Third World organizations.

The Reginald F. Lewis Foundation is a charitable organization endowed entirely by Mr. Lewis' personal contributions. Since its creation in 1988, the Foundation has given more than \$4 million to educational, cultural and civil rights organizations, including a \$1 million gift to Howard University.

In an interview with the New York Times, Mr. Lewis said that his reasons for the gift were quite simple. "Harvard was good to me, fair and never patronizing."

When asked to comment on the issue of faculty diversity, he said "I have confidence these issues will be addressed, and I would prefer it sooner rather than later."


Mr. Lewis reiterated this view in the letter bestowing the grant, where he wrote, "I am particularly hopeful that the gift will help

the School expand and accelerate its efforts in faculty diversity and other areas."

Lewis is currently the chairman and chief executive officer of TLC Beatrice International Holdings, Inc., the largest African American owned company in the United States. Mr. Lewis also serves as

Mr. Lewis said that his reasons for the gift were quite simple.

"Harvard was good to me, fair and never patronizing."



Reginald F. Lewis '68.

a member of the Law School Visiting Committee and the Executive Committee for The Campaign for Harvard Law School. His first gift to the Law School was a \$10 donation in 1968.

The Campaign is a five-year effort, unprecedented in legal education, to raise \$150 million to expand and diversify the faculty, maintain and renovate the existing physical plant, modernize the library facilities and care for its treasures, internationalize the curriculum, boost the clin-

ical programs, and improve the student financial aid and Low Income Protection Plan programs.

The provisions of the grant also call for the creation of a faculty committee, chaired by Professor Christopher Edley Jr., to advise Dean Clark on the distribution of a portion of the gift. The grant brings the current total of the Law School's Campaign to \$72.6 million.

Dean Wald to Depart from Law School

continued from WALD, page 1

accomplishments stem from her ability to address heated campus issues: she incorporated topics concerning diversity into orientation programs; she worked to develop free speech guidelines for dealing with controversial speakers who come to campus; she worked with the committee headed by Professor Kathleen Sullivan in developing the sexual harassment policy for the Law School that will be released this fall.

Professor Daniel Meltzer, who as Associate Dean has worked with Wald on many occasions, commented, "Dean Wald has done a splendid job, especially because she is perceived as fair. She clearly has the respect of the faculty, and she has a wonderful capacity to deal with the hard issues around her and generate consensus."

To Wald, both substance and process are essential to her job performance. "Although a collaborative process for resolving problems may take longer," she explained, "it is the only way to get a really comprehensive and good product." In her new position for the University, this principle will likewise serve her well; her focus will be to improve the University's internal communications, and to build a better sense of community among Harvard's many schools.

In resigning her post, Dean Wald is mindful that she leaves behind a campus still troubled by political turbulence, and a position marked by continual stress as a result. Although other law schools share many of HLS's problems, she asserted, "problems are intensified here due to the size of the school." The large student body requires a large bureaucracy and set of rules — factors which, in her opinion, contribute to feelings of alienation on campus.

"The students here are incredibly

passionate, bright and assertive — so that conflict and disagreement should be expected."

She noted, however, that both students and faculty must learn to work through their difficulties to better respect those involved. "The faculty has certainly not always been the best role model in resolving conflicts." Dean Wald expressed great hope that the new committee headed by Roger Fisher will aid in this endeavor. "I hope people will get involved," she declared. "This is a great sign of progress."

Administrative Dean Sandra Coleman will chair the committee to search for Dean Wald's replacement. Wald will be leaving the Law School on October 15, after which time Suzanne Richardson, Director of Student Activities, will serve as Acting Dean of Students until a replacement has been selected.

Asked what regrets she has about leaving HLS, Wald unhesitatingly responded, "I feel really sad about leaving the students. I really love the students. That's what I'll miss the most."

The RECORD is too good to be used only once!

RECYCLE

Harvard Law Students
Class of 1994

You are invited to meet attorneys of the
California firm of

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison

with offices in San Francisco, Los Angeles, Palo Alto, San Diego, Newport Beach, New York* and London*

*Brobeck Hale & Dorr International Offices

Thursday, October 8, 1992
8:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

The Charles Hotel in Harvard Square
Rogers/Stratton Room
One Bennet Street, Cambridge
(casual attire acceptable)

Info: 415-979-2557

On-Campus interviewing will also be held at the Charles Hotel on the following days:

Los Angeles Office —	Friday, October 9
Palo Alto Office —	Friday, October 9
San Diego Office —	Friday, October 9
San Francisco Office —	Thursday, October 8 and Friday, October 9

BROBECK
PHLEGER &
HARRISON
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BRIEFS



New Home for Legal Services Center

The Legal Services Center (El Centro de Servicios Legales) is holding a ground-breaking ceremony for its new offices at 122 Boylston Street, Jamaica Plain, on Friday, September 25, 1992, 10:00 - 11:30 a.m. The Center, which has been providing free legal services to the low-income community since 1979, is in the process of renovating the former "Merriman Building" at the corner of Boylston and Amory Streets in the Stony Brook Commerce Center.

The Legal Services Center is a teaching facility of Harvard Law School that provides services to several thousand low-income people each year in cooperation with Greater Boston Legal Services. Harvard Law School was able to purchase and is renovating the former Merriman Building as a permanent home for the Center as a result of a two million dollar donation by the law firm of Hale & Dorr. The Center's program, modeling itself along the lines of a "teaching hospital," and its new facility are the product of a unique partnership between a major law firm, a city-wide legal aid organization and an educational institution.

Harvard Law School 175 Years Old

In 1817, Harvard Law School was founded thanks to the 1815 bequest of Isaac Royall, a successful Massachusetts businessman and patron of education. Harvard established its first professorship of law, and Isaac Parker, Chief Justice of the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court, became the first Royall Professor of Law. The Law School celebrated its 175th anniversary over the summer with a reception.

The Dean comments that "the 175th anniversary of Harvard Law School is an occasion to reflect on the accomplishments of faculty, alumni, and programs that have established the school as the preeminent institution of legal learning in the United States." He adds that "it is also an occasion to reflect on our efforts and plans to meet the demands of the next decade and century, so that we may maintain and enhance our leadership role."

Grizzer Receives Alumni Assn. Award

Former Dean Erwin Griswold LL.B. '28, S.J.D. '29 has been named the recipient of the inaugural Harvard Law School Association Award. At a ceremony celebrating the 175th Anniversary of the Law School on June 3, 1992, the School's Alumni Association honored Griswold in recognition of his extraordinary service to the legal profession reflecting the qualities of intellect, integrity, leadership, and responsibility that the Law School seeks to foster. The award reflects service to society, recognizing contributions to the public welfare exemplifying the values of the Law School, and it rewards service to the Law School as a faculty member.

Griswold served as Dean of the Law School for a span of twenty years, from 1946 to 1967. During his tenure as Dean, Griswold left indelible impressions upon Harvard Law School, including enormous expansion of the physical facilities, pioneering work in International Legal Studies, increased focus on Urban Legal Studies, and special efforts to rebuild the strength of the faculty and curriculum. Dean Griswold's expansive influence beyond the Law School finds expression in the fact that only Daniel Webster has argued more cases in front of the Supreme Court.

In acknowledging the value of Dean Griswold's contributions to the legal profession, the award reads: "Dean, Solicitor General, lawyer, husband, and father; your honesty, integrity, dedication, and courage have made immeasurable contributions to the Harvard Law School, the legal profession and the country, confirming that there are no boundaries to your life in the law."

Congratulations and kudos are in order for Dean Griswold.

WLA Plans Party For Dean Wald

The Women's Law Association has planned a farewell reception to honor Dean Sarah Wald on Friday, September 25 from 4 to 6 PM in the Grill Room upstairs in the Harkness Cafeteria. All students, faculty and administrators are invited.

WLA is inviting all student groups to co-sponsor this reception to send a warm message to Dean Wald and the school. Any student group that would like to co-sponsor should either call Claudia Salomon at 629-2052 or put a note in her 2L Harkbox by Friday, September 18.

WLA wants to extend the invitation to the faculty and administrators with the names of all the sponsoring student groups. They would like to simply take the cost of a reception with wine, other drinks, cheese, and finger food, and divide the price by the number of student groups. Any questions or suggestions as to the presentation should be addressed to Ms. Salomon.

Public Interest Booming

By Betsy McGrath

The number of students seeking public interest/public service work is at a record high in the Law School's history. Ten percent of the HLS class of 1992 have taken jobs involving public interest/public service work, and another ten percent of the class intends to seek similar work after completing clerkships, according to Stacy DeBroff, Director of Public Interest Advising.

The numbers are pretty impressive. Of last year's graduating class, 54 students have already secured jobs working for various governments, legal services centers, and non-profit organizations or public interest law firms. Another 14 students are still awaiting jobs in markets such as Capitol Hill which traditionally doesn't hire until this time of year. Ms. DeBroff reports that she has heard from another 50 students who are currently doing clerkships, but who intend to take public interest/public service jobs next year.

People working in the field are convinced that the move toward public interest work is here to stay. "This is the trend of the 90's," stated DeBroff. "More students are seeking careers that will be personally meaningful as well as professionally rewarding."

"There is a renaissance in public interest law," stated Michael Chmura, News Director of HLS. Chmura's office recently released two news releases touting Dean Robert Clark's role in the renaissance, mostly the Dean's raising of a substantial amount of money to support a variety of fellowships. For example, HLS awarded 18 fellowships to recent

graduates ranging from \$1,000 to \$5,000.

Hope for the future

There are additional signs that an increased interest in public interest work is here to stay. Last summer, 225 HLS students engaged in some form of public interest work, a new record. The Office of Public Interest Advising (OPIA), created after students protested Dean Clark's abolition of the Public Interest Placement Office five weeks after he became Dean, has recently hired two attorneys to work part-time advising students interested in public interest careers. DeBroff reports that these new faculty members, "are already booked a couple of weeks in advance."

But not everybody is so impressed with the numbers. Dave Singleton '91, an attorney with the Legal

Action Center for the Homeless said, "It's pathetic, four out of five students from the 'record' class of '92 are still turning their backs on those most in need." Singleton is currently working on litigation involving homeless foster children and on a lawsuit involving the safety of shelters for the homeless.

Still, DeBroff remains hopeful. "What makes these trends so dramatic and significant," she explained, "is that Harvard remains recession proof. The people taking public interest work are doing so out of choice, not market displacement."

Students interested in public interest work are encouraged to contact the Office of Public Interest Advising on the third floor of Pound Hall. The Office runs programs for students throughout the year including help with resumes and meetings with visiting Fellows currently engaged in public interest work.



Stacy DeBroff.

GRAND
OPENING!

BIKE SALE!

"Take advantage of store-wide savings at Cambridge's NEWEST and most exciting Bicycle Shop!"

RALEIGH Capri CX

Cross Bike

Reg.
\$240.00

\$199⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$40**

RALEIGH Traverse

Mt. Bike

Reg.
\$450.00

\$349⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$100**

BRIDGESTONE B B-1

Mountain/City Bike

Reg.
\$380.00

\$349⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$30**

BRIDGESTONE MB-6

Mt. Bike

Reg.
\$419.00

\$389⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$30**

MARIN Madrone Trail

Mt. Bike

Reg.
\$364.00

\$309⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$54**

MARIN Muir Woods

Mt. Bike

Reg.
\$409.00

\$349⁹⁵

**SAVE
\$60**

Helmet Special!

Rhode Gear Ultra light III **\$29⁹⁵**

Reg. \$40.00

Kryptonite KIV Plus Lock

Reg. \$39.95 **\$29⁹⁵**

CYCLE SPORT



CAMBRIDGE 1776 Mass. Ave. (between Harvard & Porter Squares) (617) 661-6880

Open: 10-9 Mon.-Fri.; 9:30-6 Sat.; 12-5 Sun.

MasterCard/Visa/American Express

SALE ENDS 9/30/92

RECORD SPECIAL FEATURE

Dean Clark through the years...



1972, as a student



1978



1979



1980



1991



TODAY

Students returning to HLS this year noticed something different about Dean Robert C. Clark — and it wasn't just his apparent willingness to confront the divisions at the Law School (see story, page 7). The bushy beard and moustache are gone! DBC's new look led us at the RECORD to investigate the history of the Dean's facial adornments. Here is what we found:

...Hair today, gone tomorrow

An informal opportunity to discuss

Philadelphia Life and Legal Practice

with

Associates and partners from Philadelphia law firms
HLS students who have been summer associates in Philadelphia law firms
Former Philadelphia area judicial clerks
Public interest lawyers
Small firm practitioners


John Chipman Gray Room
Pound Building
Thursday, October 1, 1992
4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Substantial hors d'oeuvres

No need to dress up

Harvard Law School Association of Greater Philadelphia

- JANUARY** The Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts granted direct appellate review of the HLS Coalition for Civil Rights' lawsuit to decide whether law students have standing to challenge the HLS hiring process under state anti-discrimination law. The lawsuit was first filed in November 1990.
- FEBRUARY 28** HLS made tenure offers to four white men — two of whom were visiting professors at the time. This action seemingly reversed a School policy requiring professors to leave before being considered for a full-time faculty position. Over the last two years, this rule had been used to avoid consideration of two women of color and a Latino professor.
- FEBRUARY 29** Upon learning of the new tenure offers, ongoing student protests escalated. In response, Dean Clark held a standing-room-only meeting in Langdell. At the meeting Duncan Kennedy, a member of the Appointments Committee, stated that the appointments represented a "politically balanced slate" which amounted to a "package deal." In addition, Randall Kennedy, another member of the Appointments Committee, stated that 99% of the over 100 candidates presented by BLSA, AALSA and La Alianza were "not for us."
- MARCH 4** Representatives of CCR met with President Neil Rudenstine to express their concerns about the School's inaction on diversity. Rudenstine agreed to meet with Dean Clark and, on March 16, he responded to the concerns expressed by the representatives in writing. Later, Professor Derrick Bell announced at a student rally that he would extend his leave of absence until a woman of color was appointed and that he had filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Education alleging that the Law School's hiring practices are discriminatory. CCR members wrote a letter to Dean Clark asking him to detail his plans to diversify the faculty. CCR members threatened that there was a possibility of civil disobedience on "zero day," the day after his expected response was due.
- MARCH 11** Jesse Jackson addressed students in Ames Courtroom and expressed his support for the diversity movement. Later, Dean Clark sent a 2 1/2 page letter which promised to increase diversity by considering "non-white males."
- MARCH 12** On CCR's "zero day," Dean Clark woke to find 15 students engaged in peaceful protest outside his home. In response, Dean Clark agreed to schedule emergency faculty meeting that afternoon. The two and a half hour meeting resulted in the promise of more meetings and a resolution by Professors Chris Edley and Roger Fisher to pursue diversity issues.
- MARCH 25** The *Wall Street Journal* published an article in which Dean Clark was quoted as saying that protests for faculty diversity were the result of minority students having a "feeling of insecurity" and that the protests were one of the "symptoms of affirmative action."
- APRIL 1** 15 students held silent, peaceful sit-ins in the offices of two professors. Four students were tentatively identified by Professor Charles Fried and threatened with administrative action. One was later found to be incorrectly identified and the other three were later absolved after agreeing to issue a formal statement.
- APRIL 6** Nine students staged a peaceful sit-in in the corridor outside of Dean Clark's office. The entrances to the corridor were sealed off by police and students were not allowed to receive food or allowed to go to the bathroom until as late as 8:30 p.m.
- APRIL 7** The students ended the sit-in after staying for over 24 hours.
- APRIL 9** Nine students were notified that they had been tentatively identified as having violated the rights and responsibilities of the Law School for participating in the sit-in in Dean Clark's office on April 6 and 7: William Anspach, Charisse Carney, Jodi Grant, Derek Honoré, Lucy Koh, Elizabeth Moreno, Jill Newman, Marie Louise Ramsdale and Julie Su were all threatened with administrative action.
- APRIL 10** The *Harvard Law Review's* annual parody issue, *The Revue* containing an offensive parody of the late Mary Joe Frug was leaked to the Law School public. Responses from several students, student groups and faculty members filled student Harkboxes and local and national newspapers. With the support of several student groups, Professor David Kennedy filed a complaint with the Administrative Board demanding official action. Following bitter conflicts between faculty members over the First Amendment issues involved, no administrative action was taken.
- APRIL 16** Eight student organizations held a press conference to announce a call for the resignation of Dean Clark. In a four page statement, students cited Dean Clark's failure to act on the issue of faculty diversity, his article in the *Wall Street Journal* and his inadequate response to the Mary Joe Frug parody in the *Law Revue* as evidence that the Dean has lost control of the school and is no longer an effective leader. At the press conference a letter from the Reverend Jesse Jackson in support of the student protesters and a statement from the "Griswold Nine" was read. The same day, six students met with President Neil Rudenstine to express their extreme concern with the atmosphere of hostility, intolerance and exclusion at the Law School. President Rudenstine agreed that concrete plans should be developed through further meetings so that the Law School could head down a more constructive path and heal its deep divisions.
- APRIL 17** Formal charges were issued against the nine students who sat in at Dean Clark's office on April 6 and 7. Later on Friday, Professor Terry Fisher agreed to act as attorney for the nine in coordinating their defense against the Administrative Board.
- APRIL 29** In the wake of the Ad Board proceedings, the *Revue* and students protest, Dean Clark holds a forum on "How to Build a Sense of Community at Harvard Law School." Billed as a chance to commence a healing dialogue between Dean Clark and the dissatisfied students, the meeting was reduced into a barrage of grievances by the students against the non-responsive Dean who was there "just to listen."
- MAY 4** For the first time in the history of HLS, students were put on trial in a public Administrative Board hearing. The testimony covered two nights and was attended by hundreds of faculty, staff and students. Professor Terry Fisher and Peter Cicchino '92 argued for the students while Professor Detlev Vagts handled the prosecution. Despite much speculation, Dean Clark neither testified nor attended the trial. After many days of deliberation the Administrative Board issued a warning to the nine students, the most lenient sanction permissible in such a proceeding.
- JUNE 4** HLS students staged a protest at both the general and Law School commencement ceremonies. Peter Cicchino '92 is chosen as one of the student speakers and calls for continued change at Harvard, leaving the podium to a standing ovation. Meanwhile, Dean Clark is booed by his students as he is introduced. During the Law School commencement, several students refuse to receive their degrees from Dean Clark and walk by without shaking his hand.



The Griswold Nine: From Start to Finish

By Glennis Gill

As last semester drew to a close, the HLS community watched as the Administrative Board held its first public hearing.

It all began at noon on April 6, when nine students occupied part of Griswold Hall, the corridor between the Financial Office and the common secretary area outside the Dean's office. The area was quickly secured by Harvard Police and the students were told that if they left the corridor to use the bathroom, they could not return. Around 8:30 p.m. this policy was changed and the students were allowed to go out one at a time to use the bathroom. About two dozen students

remained outside overnight in support of the nine.

The next morning there were lots of students outside of Griswold, as well as some media. The students remained in the Griswold corridor until after noon on the 7th, as other students rallied outside in support. During the twenty-four hours, four professors, including David Charney, Christopher Edley, Duncan Kennedy and Frank Michaelman were granted permission to enter the corridor to give the students advice about their protest.

After leaving the corridor, the protesters issued a formal statement of their purposes, declaring that "The Dean can take no comfort in the University Statement of Rights and Responsibilities, which asserts that interference with

members of the University in performance of their normal duties and activities must be regarded as unacceptable obstruction of the essential processes of the University.' There is nothing normal about discrimination; the 'essential processes' of the law school cannot be served by the exclusion of women and minorities from the legal academic community."

Pictures of the protesters had been taken and they were identified by the administration as William Anspach '93, Charisse Carney '92, Jodi Grant '93, Derek Honoré '93, Lucy Koh '93, Elizabeth Moreno '93, Jill Newman '93, Marie-Louise Ramsdale '93 and Julie Su '94. On April 9, the Nine received letters via Federal Express from the Ad Board.

On April 17, the Ad Board formally charged the nine students with interfering with the normal functions of the University and individual freedom of movement by obstructing access to the Dean's office and refusing to leave when asked to do so. With rumors circling that suspension was a possibility, Professor Terry Fisher '82 and Peter Cicchino '92 agreed to represent the students.

The rules state that students before the Ad board may request a public hearing and the Griswold Nine did so, for the first time in Ad board history.

The Ad Board set the hearing for May 4, a date that bothered the students due to its close proximity to exams. Several

please see *GRISWOLD 9*, page 13

Dean Clark Offers Peace Initiative in Letter

By Steve Yarian

Endeavoring to move beyond the bitter divisions and internecine strife which seemingly paralyzed the Law School community last Spring, Dean Robert C. Clark '72 has established a task force to reforge and strengthen a sense of unity and community at the Law School.

In a letter distributed to all members of the Law School community, Clark evinced an attitude of conciliation indicating his willingness to "create an environment that fosters greater mutual understanding and respect within the School, while preserving the School's overarching commitment to freedom of expression."

Clark announced that Professor emeritus Roger Fisher '48 has agreed to lead the efforts of the working group in trying to increase the level of civil behavior between factions of the Law School.

In choosing Fisher, widely-known and well-respected for his negotiations expertise and authorship of *Getting To Yes*, to direct the group's efforts, Clark tacitly acknowledged that the sensitive, visceral nature of the numerous conflicts contributing to the dissension within the Law School require the deft touch of a qualified mediator.

Joining Fisher in the working group are Professors Charles Ogletree '78 and Alan Stone. Moreover, Clark noted that additional members would be appointed to the working group, including student

representatives to be chosen by the Law School Council. Emphasizing his intention that the process not be considered as restricted to the internal machinations, creativity and input of the working group members, Clark solicited suggestions and ideas from all members of the Law School community.

Already Clark's letter has captured attention and interest beyond the Law School. Expressing optimism regarding the whole project, Professor Stone told the Harvard Crimson that he is "very enthusiastic. I actually think we may be able to do some things."


Moreover, as reported in the Boston Globe, Professor Laurence Tribe '66 indicated that he is pleased by the message conveyed in the letter. Tribe opined that the letter "is an acknowledgment of a genuine need for discussion of issues relating to race and gender, and an acknowledgment that those discussions need to take place in a more open way. Having a working group of students and staff as well as faculty also seems to be an important step forward."

In addition to laying out the framework for the working group, Clark's letter addressed other matters of concern to the Law School community, including Harvard University's decision not to extend Professor Derrick Bell's leave of absence beyond the two-year maximum policy limit. Calling Bell "a valued member of our faculty," Clark expressed his regret that Bell will not be returning to


Clark indicated his willingness to "create an environment that fosters greater mutual understanding and respect within the School."



Prof. Roger Fisher.



Prof. Charles Ogletree.



Prof. Alan Stone.

Harvard this year, adding that it is his "hope that Professor Bell will seek reappointment to our faculty in the near future."

With respect to Clark's discussion of Bell's tenure situation, Tribe commented that, "I like his expression of hope regarding Derrick Bell. I take it as a welcoming invitation. Not a promise — but certainly not frosty or dismissive."

Additionally, Clark reiterated his desire to bring more women onto the Law School faculty. Clark noted that the "Appointments Committee is focusing this Fall on bringing more women onto the faculty." He also told the Boston Globe that the Law School "would very much like to have more women. I think we are going to do it."

Clark's letter also provided an update on the efforts of the Law School's Comprehensive Campaign, pointing out that the generosity of the alumni has enabled the Campaign to reach more than half of its target goal of \$150 million in only its second year of activity. Clark also mentioned a \$3 million gift from Reginald Lewis '68. The gift from Lewis constitutes the largest gift from a single individual in the history of the Law School. In recognition of Lewis' magnanimity, the School is renaming the International Legal Studies Building as

the Reginald F. Lewis International Law Center. The renaming of the ILS Building is also notable because it will be the first building at Harvard University to be named in honor of an African American.

As the new year commences, we all await with eagerness the abatement of hostilities between all factions of the Law School. The Dean's letter may be the first step towards confronting the tough, divisive issues in a constructive, cooperative manner.

**Another
Week,

Another
RECORD.**

LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae

will be on campus
Tuesday, October 13th

Please sign up for
interviews
in the Placement Office

• • • • •

CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
44 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

*We look forward to meeting
Harvard Law Students
during our on-campus
interviews*

ON

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1992
AND
FRIDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1992

AT

THE CHARLES HOTEL

Harvard Law RECORD

Founded in 1946

Robert C. Arnold
Editor-In-Chief

Again We Begin

It's so amazing how quickly the summer passes. Events of the spring become distant memories for many, eclipsed by events in the "real" world. Harvard Law School was replaced by the equal splendor and decay of life. But then we return, and the ugly we left behind begins again without missing a step. Harvard Law School, the absurdity of life in condensed form, continues in perpetual motion. We return, and again we join in. We return, and again we begin.

For the first time in many years, there is a relative peace in Beirut. The former playground of the Middle East still resembles a war zone with Syrian troops patrolling the streets. But nowadays, there is a renewed optimism that some sort of normalization will occur. Although the Dean's letter of September 8, seems to offer the same hope for the Harvard Law School, the Beirut of law schools as it has become known, I am decidedly skeptical. Actions speak volumes louder than words, and until something tangible happens, we will have to see how, in fact, the year unfolds.

Many people are impressed by the fact that the Dean has shaved his beard to appear, I propose, a little less sinister (here I stress the operative word "appear"). Regular readers of the seemingly sycophantic *Boston Globe* might think that DBC has become almost angelic. Many people are encouraged by the velvety smooth tone of the Dean's letter, rumored to have been ghost-authored by the perpetual conciliator Roger Fisher.

However, in that letter, I wasn't inspired to be kinder and gentler. I couldn't help but perceive an undertone of arrogance probably derived from events of the summer. Surely, the Dean must be breathing a sigh of relief that CCR has been temporarily silenced. Surely, the Dean must be feeling like the conquering Caesar now that Derrick Bell is officially off the staff. Surely, he must feel utter accomplishment in convincing the wealthiest Black man in the country to make the single largest contribution thus far in the School's history. Despite what his students say, "I am right," he must be saying.

I couldn't help but perceive the patronizing tones of superiority in the Dean's letter. He now thinks he has the moral high ground and is taking the opportunity to cluck around like a rooster.

Was he using the royal "we" to refer to himself when he said "We can do better?" We, the student body, has done about as well as we can thus far given the obtuseness of the Dean. For many years, long before any present student at HLS was here, the student body has tried to be patient while the Dean carried out guerilla warfare operations, like the blatant attacks in conservative, pathetically pandering business journals, and belittled us by throwing us crumbs on which we were supposed to sustain ourselves.

While I too am hopeful that "we can do better," as they say, once bitten, twice shy. I fear we won't do better because "we" are not the problem. Dean Clark, you are.

—Ra

Staff

NEWS EDITOR.....Glennis Gill
FEATURES EDITOR.....Todd Hartman
PRODUCTION EDITOR.....Kevin Werbach
PHOTO EDITOR.....Josh Frieman
SENIOR EDITORS.....Fenno, Alysse MacIntyre
Rich Wareing, Lisa Zornberg
CONTRIBUTORS.....David Baker, Bob George, Betsy McGrath, Steve Yarian
CARTOONISTS.....Shawn Fagan, Chris Spagnoli, Paul Taylor
GENERAL MANAGER.....Bobby Daut
BUSINESS MANAGER.....Kerwin Chung
ADVERTISING MANAGERS.....Bill Choslovsky, Ryan Downs, David Frakt

Printed By The Harvard Crimson

Editorial/Letter Policy

The Harvard Law RECORD (ISSN 0017-8101) is a publication of the Harvard Law RECORD Corporation, a non-profit, independent, student-owned and run corporation of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Harvard Law RECORD, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA 02138-9984. Telephone (617) 495-4418. Fax (617) 495-8457.

The RECORD is published weekly on Fridays throughout the academic year and is distributed free of charge to Harvard Law School Students and at a minimal charge to Harvard Law School Alumni. Copy and photo deadlines are 5:00 pm, on the Monday preceding publication. Advertising deadlines are 12:00 pm, on the Wednesday preceding publication.


Submissions may be sent to The RECORD at the above noted address, dropped in the RECORD box located in Harkness Commons, or left in the 3L Hark box of Robert Arnold. All copy must be typed, double-spaced, and submitted in a paper copy and on a computer disk (Macintosh or IBM). The RECORD reads and appreciates every letter we receive. We will return your disks. Off-campus subscriptions are available. Subscription price: \$30.00 year mailed; \$35.00 year mailed outside U.S. Individual copies: 35 cents at newsstands, 50 cents at the Law School, \$1.00 mailed. Second-class postage paid at Boston, MA and additional mailing offices.

© 1992 by the Harvard Law RECORD Corporation and by the contributors.

The RECORD is committed to equality and diversity. We strive to provide a forum for the free exchange of ideas. As a result, the opinions reflected in articles, editorials, and cartoons are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the RECORD.

"Congress shall make no law...abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press;..."

—First Amendment, U.S. Constitution



Letters

Dean's Actions Sad Confusion of Values

Dear Dean Clark:

For some time I have forgone making contributions to the school. I have become increasingly disappointed at the administration's and faculty's continued indifference to discrimination in faculty appointments against women and members of racial minorities. Now I learn from the

Legal Times of the apparent indifference of the school's powers to the "Mary Doe" parody and your failure, to date, to take strong action against those responsible. My disappointment has turned to disgust. To threaten discipline against students who demonstrate for faculty diversity while ignoring degradation of

women under the aegis of the law school's flagship publication strikes me as a sad confusion of values, if not the nadir of moral obtuseness.

You and the law school should be ashamed.

William A. Dobrovir '57
July, 1992

Leadership Lacking at HLS

Dear Dean Clark:

Shock, horror and disgust are my responses to your and the Law School's conduct concerning the horrible "parody" printed by the Law Review on the anniversary of the death of the late Professor Frug. How could the Review have done it, and how could the President and authors not resign in disgrace? How could you have done nothing?

When will you recognize that as the School's leader you have personally played a major role in creating the disturbed atmosphere in which that article could be printed? And how tragic to find that, at this point, all four of the recent appointments committee approvals are white males!

As a 1975 graduate, I call on you to resign, and end

this sad period of this great school's turmoil. Your tenure will go down as the time when a lot of white males (and I am one) gave lots of money (I refuse to) to a school that perpetuates and fosters racism and sexism. It is time for the Law School to move on.

Jeffrey N. Drummond '75
July, 1992

Dean Clark has Done Well, Continue

Dear Dean Clark:

Harvard Law School is to be commended on the Charles Hamilton Houston Fellowship, designed to make it easier for persons of various backgrounds to enter into teaching.

It is also nice that you have agreed to improve lines of communication with students, even concerning hiring policies.

In my opinion, however,

the Law School can and should offer tenure as soon as possible to qualified minority applicants and to additional women. I believe that diversity in backgrounds is a legitimate factor to be considered in staffing, whether it be a large law school faculty or a small union legal department. I know there are qualified applicants available to you. Not extending the leave of Professor Derrick Bell

appears counterproductive toward faculty diversification.

I also urge that you use compassion and not punish students who sat in your office and other locations. To do so would be regressive. Such punishment did not accomplish anything constructive when used in the 1960s.

Jordan Rossen '99
August, 1992

Wayne To Be Real 'Urban Cowboy'

Congratulations! I was most pleased to hear at the annual meeting of the American Bar Association Law Student Division that THE RECORD was selected as the nation's best law school newspaper in the humor category. All of you (my illegitimate half-sister Alysse

MacIntyre, in particular) are to be commended.

Also, with regard to your April 1st edition, I should note that the anti-trust suit against me has been settled out of court. Although the settlement agreement prevents me from disclosing details, I can safely say that I shall

graduate Magna, Dean Wald will be offered employment elsewhere in the University and Wayne Herndon will soon have the mechanical bull he has been "hankering for" in the Pub.

Michael J. Twomey '93
September 16, 1992

Combat Age Discrimination

I recently received a mailing stuffed with coupons, including a booklet from a restaurant chain which offered a free non-alcoholic soft drink with the purchase of a pizza. The coupon was marked, "Must be 21 or older to redeem coupon."

Paranoia has gotten pretty bad when a restaurant applies the drinking age to non-alcoholic brew.

It would be illegal to offer a free beer coupon, so

they offered a non-alcoholic brew because they know it isn't covered by the state liquor statutes. Since they know darn well it isn't covered, they have no excuse for discriminating against people under 21. Here in New Hampshire, such age discrimination is illegal, so I filed a complaint with the New Hampshire Commission for Human Rights.

It's bad enough that the courts have handed down far-

fetched judicial misinterpretations of the Massachusetts Constitution, which asserts that the right of enjoying liberty and acquiring property apply starting at birth. (Part the First, Art. I). Since those rights apply at birth, the Commonwealth had no right trying to impose a drinking age in the first place. But the Commonwealth doesn't even apply its blatantly unconstitutional statutes on non-alcoholic soft drinks. Readers

The RECORD would like to welcome and acquaint the Class of 1995, and other first-time readers to our publication. We are an independent, student-owned and run newspaper of Harvard Law School. Our goal is to provide not only a place where one can learn about events that affect the HLS community, but also to provide an unbiased forum for students, faculty, administration, and alumni to express their views and positions on any issue.

The RECORD is published weekly throughout the academic calendar year. Because of our publication schedule and deadlines, the news we present may not be as current as we would like, thus we try to give a different angle and perspective on a story with which you, our readers, may already be familiar.

Irrespective of your position in the HLS community, the RECORD encourages everyone to participate in the dialogue and debate that occurs here, which the RECORD has traditionally enhanced.

Legal Lessons For Social Engineers

By Carl Shipley '48

According to recent issues of the RECORD, Harvard Law students seems to be more interested in a kind of blue-jean, T-shirt informality, and in becoming social engineers for partisan causes than becoming officers of the court and advocates in cases. Without professionally trained lawyers in the Article III sense, our checks-and-balances, tri-partite structure of government cannot function, a melancholy idea which provokes this letter.

In the hope that perhaps a few may want to be lawyers and not self-interest activists, the following thoughts might be helpful:

Chief Justice John Marshall, appointed by President Adams on January 27, 1801, when he was 45 years old, served on the Supreme Court for 34 1/2 years, basing his momentous decision on his idea "...It is a constitution we are expounding...intended to endure for ages to come, consequently to be adapted to the various crises of human affairs."

More than any other person in the history of the Court, he determined the characteristics of the American constitutional system.

When he became Chief Justice, the Supreme Court was held in low esteem, largely discredited. He elevated the Court to a place of institutional equality with the Legislative and Executive branches.

The following four of his 36 decisions involving constitutional questions led the federal government in its developing years. In Justice Cardozo's words, "...the form of our constitutional law is what it is, because he (Marshall) molded it while it was still plastic..."

Every Harvard lawyer should know these cases as his (or her) stock in trade, if he (or she) knows anything:

Marbury v. Madison, 4 Wheaton 16 (1803); supremacy of the Constitution, judicial review; *McCulloch v. Maryland*, 4 Wheaton 316 (1819); federal government

derives its power directly from the people, not the states, federal immunity from state taxation, implied powers of Congress, restatement of the supremacy of the Constitution; *Dartmouth College v. Woodward*, 4 Wheaton 518 (1819); inviolable validity of contracts; *Gibbons v. Ogden*, 9 Wheaton 1 (1824); complete federal power over interstate and foreign commerce.

After studying the Marshall decisions, a lawyer owes it to himself (or herself) to become thoroughly familiar with the far-reaching decisions of Chief Justice Earl Warren, who came to that high post on March 1, 1954, as a result of political promises during the 1953 election of President Eisenhower.

Mr. Justice Warren's leadership left profound milestones in fulfillment of the Constitution's guarantees to all Americans, as follows: *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U.S. 483 (1954); compulsory segregation by race violates equal protection; *Watkins v. U.S.*, 354 U.S. 483 (1957); vagueness in congressional investigations violates due process; *Reynolds v. Sims* (1964); one man, one vote; *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966); protective rules against self-incrimination by persons in police custody; *Powell v. McCormack*, 395 U.S. 486 (1969); lecture to Congress on constitutional law

respecting qualifications for members of Congress, theretofore considered a "political question".

Then for fun read some of the "legal novels" suggested by John Henry Wigmore (1863-1943), Harvard-educated professor and dean at Northwestern University for many years, in "A List of One Hundred Legal Novels", *Illinois Law Review* (Northwestern University School of Law), Vol. 17, No. 1, 1922.

Dean Wigmore described a "legal novel" as one "in

which a lawyer...ought to be interested, because the principles or the profession of the law form a main part of the author's theme."

In compiling his list of "legal novels", Dean Wigmore categorizes them by the following designations:

(A) Novels in which a trial scene, including skillful cross-examination, is portrayed;

(B) Novels in which the characteristics of a lawyer or judge, or the ways of professional life, are portrayed;

(C) Novels in which prosecution and punishment of crime are portrayed;

(D) Novels in which the plot involves a point of law.

Finally, "Voices in Court", edited by William H. Davenport, MacMillan Co., New York, 1958, on which I have drawn, is worthwhile reading for an aspiring lawyer.



**Without
professionally
trained lawyers in
the Article III sense,
our checks-and-
balances, tri-partite
structure of
government cannot
function.**

FIRST YEAR STUDENTS

AUDIO CASSETTES

ARE NOW AVAILABLE FOR ALL
FIRST YEAR SUBJECTS

TORTS
PROPERTY
CONTRACTS
CIVIL PROCEDURE
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE



Contact your SMH Law School Representative or call
us directly at 1-800-343-9188.

We are SMH, New England's Bar Review.
195 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

FALL SPECIAL

Register by October 16th for the

SMH BAR REVIEW COURSE

and Save an Additional \$50.00

**Off our Already Discounted
Course Prices!**



Contact your SMH
Law School Representative
or call us directly at 1-800-343-9188.

We are SMH, New England's Bar Review
195 State Street
Boston, MA 02109


Liabilities

By Paul Taylor



GROMM: Barbarian at Law

By Chris Spagnoli



NEXT ISSUE:

The Class of '95: Who are They?

RECORD Interview with Dean Clark

Mnookin and Fisher on Negotiation

1L Argument Program Revised



CLASSIFIED

INTERNATIONAL EMPLOYMENT

Make \$2,000+ per month teaching English abroad. Japan and Taiwan. Room & Board provided. Financially & Culturally rewarding! For program call: (206) 632-1146 ext. J5032

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Harvard Law School Association, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA 02138. ALUMNI/AE ADDRESS CHANGES: Alumni/ae who receive the RECORD as a result of membership in the Harvard Law School Association should mail change-of-address information to the Harvard Law School Association, Harvard Law School, Cambridge, MA 02138. Each alumnus/ae receiving a RECORD subscription through the Harvard Law School Association should be on notice that \$5.00 of H.L.S.A. annual dues are used to purchase the RECORD subscription that he or she receives. Dues paid at any time during the H.L.S.A. fiscal year that began on July 1, 1991 and ends on June 30, 1992 purchase a subscription that begins in January 1992 and expires in December 1992.

Return Address: Harvard Law School Assn. Harvard Law School Cambridge, MA 02138

SECOND CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT BOSTON, MA AND ADDITIONAL OFFICES.

Chef Lee's

296-298 Beacon Street
Somerville, MA 02143

FREE DELIVERY
876-7666

5% OFF
with student ID.
Dining room and take-out only.

Lunch Specials
from \$4.25 to \$5.10

Occasional Daily Specials
\$3.99

HOROSCOPES

September 18-25, 1992

By Rosie Cosentino

Aries Mar. 21 - Apr. 20: Friendship warms into love as some turn to marriage and business partnerships. Changes in career plans. Family obligations take you away from your goals. A group or organization needs your help. Public visibility can win you success.

Taurus Apr. 21 - May 21: Work is a social outlet as making money is fun and can lead to romance. A promotion or raise is there for the asking. Something new in the learning department, as a review of something already learned gives you the advantage.

Gemini May 22 - Jun. 21: The lessons you learn now will help you for a long time. A new home. Love can be an inspiration. This is the time to have children if you are thinking along those lines. Don't be your own psychologist.

Cancer Jun. 22 - Jul. 23: Home repairs cost you money. An older female enemy appears. A visit from a relative can be lots of fun. Tell the truth if asked. Be sure to accept a social honor.

Leo Jul. 24 - Aug. 23: A week for your hopes and wishes. A shopping trip. Weekend romance. Work behind the scenes to get the nomination you want. Changes with co-workers can be for the best. Inviting someone home may not work out as planned.

Virgo Aug. 24 - Sept. 23: Finances are on the increase. Work could cause stress. However, there is a recognition of a job well done. expect the unexpected in romance. Someone from the past has a proposition for you, think about it.

Libra Sept. 24 - Oct. 23: A new look, a new you. A week to enjoy friendship, strolls through the park, riding a bike or a drive through the country. If working with the public, a customer will give you good advice. Use your intuition.

Scorpio Oct. 24 - Nov. 22: Your psychic ability is very strong, go with the flow. Money or a gift from a friend. Unexpected news and a change of residence. You are on your way to success, fame and fortune. Don't tell others about your happiness, for a while.

Sagittarius Nov. 23 - Dec. 21: Car trouble, ask a friend for a ride. Studying can be difficult this week, stay with it, the outcome is good. Too much socializing can leave you short on money, be practical. Romance is your choice.

Capricorn Dec. 22 - Jan. 20: Time to escape on an adventure of business and pleasure. A friend needs your help. Take a political stand. Write a newsletter, an article or give a talk, your involvement is important.

Aquarius Jan. 21 - Feb. 20: Legal opportunities through hard work. Add some music and dancing to your life. Money is a problem now, however, what goes out will come in double in the future.

Pisces Feb. 21 - Mar. 20: Marriage, business partnerships or situations involving competitors may call for starting over. Money comes through family and friends. A big surprise and the answer to one of your dreams.

This is a generalized sun sign forecast, if you know your rising sign and/or progressed sun or rising signs read those sections also.

For personal consultations contact:

Rosie Cosentino
P.O. Box 306
Hazelwood, MO 63042
(314) 621-6529

At Play in the Fields of the Law

By Alysse MacIntyre

RECORD Columnist

LIKE most people, I fled the law school last May like a refugee out of Bosnia. What a Spring semester! I feel like I deserve the purple heart rather than 12 credits counted toward graduation. The detestable Frug and Ogletree Parodies brought to us courtesy of the Law Review, the Fried Four, the Griswold Nine, the tenuring of five more white guys — and two of those white guys in violation of the “year away rule” that Bob Clark had up ‘til then wielded like a scimitar when minorities and women might have been considered — it’s a miracle that Langdell Hall was still standing at the end of it all.

Drama, suspense, collective outrage, and dirty little secrets characterized the Spring Semester. There was only one thing missing: the commercial angle. No American Spectacle is complete these days without a commercial angle. Endorsements, book contracts, you name it. Hell, John Erlichman even managed to pull a gig endorsing ice cream out of the rubble of Watergate. So it only seems natural that the same should befall the colorful characters who made the Harvard Law Spring of Shame all that it was, and well, some innocent bystanders, too. (You know how bad I’d feel if anyone felt left out).

Thus I present “Harvard Law School Endorsements II,” if only because I can’t stand it that that putz Dershowitz is the only one around here to habitually get his mug on the TV.

Charles Fried for the American Express Card. “Even at Harvard Law School, Vice Dean David Smith doesn’t always know who I am. That can be embarrassing when I am trying to get student protesters kicked out of my office. So now I carry the American Express card. I recommend it to students I misidentify to the Ad board for disciplinary proceedings, too.”

Larry Tribe for Ultra Slim Fast. Well, maybe if Larry ever decides to try it.

Dean Sandra Coleman for Texas Instruments: “When I am being ruthlessly cross-examined before the Ad Board, it’s nice to know that I can rely upon my Texas Instruments calculator...even if it won’t calculate the costs of the Frug parody...”

Rocco Forgione for the Clarence Thomas Charm School. “I learned coke can etiquette and playing the Mass Lotto with student ID numbers...”

Craig Coben ’92 and Ken Fenyo ’92 as the first ever poster boys for Fund to Fight Misogyny. (By the way, don’t miss Ms. Magazine’s coverage of the Frug parody in the July/August edition, - even if Craig and Ken did shy away from being interviewed for it).

Professor David Charny for Coop stationary. “When I’m really pissed at Det Vagts, nothing satisfies like throwing a yellow pad from the Coop right at the old buzzard!”

Bob Clark for The Jon Bonifaz Face Mask. I kid you not, Mein Dean donned a Jon Bonifaz mask at graduation this past spring, apparently in retaliation for the now famous Bob Clark Wall Street Journal Face Mask adapted from the illustration from last March’s article in which Bob called us who are concerned about racism in faculty hiring a bunch of insecure affirmative action cases. (Confidential to DBC: Very cute, Bob, but I remind you that I knew Jon Bonifaz; I was friends with Jon Bonifaz. And you, sir, are no Jon Bonifaz.) and also...

Bob Clark for “America Works Best When We Say Union Yes!”... What? You mean that Mein Dean won’t have union employees in his office? Silly me! What was I thinking?

Professor David Wilkins. You were just appointed to a tenured position on the Harvard Law School faculty! Now what are you going to do? David: “I’m going to Disney World!”

Howell Jackson for Cattle Prods. “Tired of the hand-to-hand combat of physically shoving student protesters around? So was I. Then I got my cattle prod! It makes walking through Griswold a breeze...”

Vanity...The Very Personal Fragrance by **Charles Nesson**.

Dean Sarah Wald for Arrid Extra Dry. “With Dean Bob Clark threatening to fire me for exercising integrity, it can be hard to keep my cool. I may be sweating it over my job, but with Arrid Extra Dry it never shows...” **Note:** I have learned since writing this that Dean Wald is indeed leaving the law school for a position in President Rudenstine’s office. Shame on you, Bob. You had better hope that Neil’s got a position for you, too.

Vice Dean David Smith for Vidal Sasoon...Not!!!!

Professor W.W. Fisher III for The Harvard Law School. Confidential to W.W. Fisher I whom I am told still reads the RECORD: Your grandson is the best thing to happen to this place since Holmes and Brandeis walked arm and arm in the shadow of Langdell. You ought to be very proud, sir.

Alysse



Fenno

It had been a great summer. Dewey, Cheetem, & Howe, P.C., had been everything Fenno expected — Lunches, outings, cocktail parties, bars, secretaries, more lunches, more outings, more secretaries — well, you get the picture. Oh, sure, there had been some work to do, but after all, wasn’t that what paralegals were for? It had been a great time, but now Fenno was back, and he wasn’t happy about it.

He had only been in town two days and he had already suffered rejection in every bar in the Square. It seemed like all the lines that had worked over the summer — “Hey, baby, I make \$1,550 a week, wanna dance?” “I drive a B.M.W. . . . well, O.K., my dad has a beemer, but I get to use it when he’s on vacation,” “What’s your sign?” — didn’t work so well with the local women. In fact, he had already been slapped six times, and it wasn’t even Columbus Day. “That’s a new record, even for me,” he thought, musing it over, “maybe I should try a new cologne, or join the Lincoln’s Inn.”

Things got even worse for Fenno when a crowd of women came up to him outside the Hark, yelling “that’s him, there’s that Fenno guy, the one who wrote the Frug parody!” It seemed like he was surrounded by half the women at the law school, and they weren’t exactly in a mood for talk. Fenno knew he had to act quickly. “Wait a minute ladies, er, fellow law students of the female gen-

der,” he said, “you must be mistaken.” “You have me confused with someone else, I’m not Fenno, I’m Fenno, and I’m certainly not the fellow who wrote the parody, I’m not even on Law Revue or Review or whatever it’s called. And might I add that you all look lovely today.”

When he finished, one of the leaders said “Oh, that’s right, now I remember you. You’re not Fenno. You are that Fenno guy. I’ve heard all about you.” Suddenly, what seemed like all the women at the law school fell upon him, trying to tear him limb from limb.

It took some work, but Fenno was able to fight his way out of the mob. “Thank God for that free health club membership this summer,” he thought, retreating to the safety of the Hark. Inside, he decided to check his mail. “Maybe they’ll be an invitation to a Wellesley party,” he thought, rummaging through the mass of ads, letters, flyers, and coupon books which were jammed into his box. “I can’t believe this!” he exclaimed, looking at his new, revised, updated, corrected, and amended schedule. “How can they cancel ‘Art and the Law: an Impressionist’s Impression’? It was my first choice!”

It was going to be a long year.

Quote of the Week

“Oh, so you’re suggesting one incest per family?”

—Professor Westfall

Stressed? Dazed? Confused? Bored?

Write for the RECORD!

The Harvard Law RECORD is the weekly newspaper of Harvard Law School. We’re looking for people to write articles and columns, copy edit, sell ads, draw cartoons, etc.

This is your opportunity to see your name in print — and hey, someone may even read it!

FOR MORE INFO, CALL 495-4418

Harvard Law RECORD

HLS Deserves Better than DBC

By Andrew Greenblatt '93

Guest Columnist

It was with great sadness that I returned to HLS this year and found a letter from Mr. Robert Clark in my Harkbox. Reading the letter I realized that while Mr. Clark, by virtue of his position as Dean, was still able to direct much of the activities of the law school, he was not able to lead. And I think that Harvard Law School deserves a leader, and not just a director.

The letter, dated September 8, was addressed "Dear Members of the Community." In it Mr. Clark discussed some of the things he saw as problems with the campus last year as well as some of his hopes for the future. His litty of fears and dreams was a telling insight into his thoughts.

Highest on his list of fears was his fear of conflict. "[C]onflict can be quite bad for an institution if it leads to paralysis of key functions." To prevent this Mr. Clark put together a working group to "explore ways in which we can create an environment that fosters greater mutual understanding and respect within the School..."

This section of the letter reminded me of much of the rhetoric I have heard from Mr. Clark during my two year tenure here. In the past people have tried to convince Mr. Clark of the value of having a faculty that is more representative of the country HLS graduates will be serving. Instead of responding to these arguments, Mr. Clark has focused his attention on the way the message has been presented. If only students would stop sitting in, chanting, and striking the campus would be a much better place.

But of course Mr. Clark is wrong. At best the campus would be a quieter place, not a better one. Students have been calling for a more diverse faculty for close to two decades. There have been countless articles, panels and debates. And still Harvard's record on diversity is abysmal. And yet for Mr. Clark the central problem remains that we are simply not communicating appropriately. But communication is not an end, it is a means. A means that Mr. Clark has himself closed off.

Mr. Clark's record on respectful use of communication has been dismal. He has employed several tactics to try to remove certain people from the field. I point out only a few examples:

Mr. Clark, then a Professor, led the fight to have Clare Dalton's tenure denied. In this he was successful, her voice has been lost.

In response to student's repeated attempts to convey their feelings about diversity Mr. Clark told the *Wall Street Journal* that their words were little more than a symptom of their insecurities. He told us all that he wasn't listening.

But perhaps his most successful attempt to control the lines of communication on this campus has been to exclude faculty members who are from different backgrounds. Their voices will never be heard.

So what effects has this had on his leadership and the institution of HLS? I believe that his policies have destroyed his ability to lead and sent this institution into the maelstrom we experienced last year and are sure to experience again. Do not misunderstand me, the fact that Mr. Clark continues to hold the position of Dean allows him to direct much of the activity of this campus, but he shall never again be able to lead it. He has lost all power of moral suasion either by word or by example. He has lost our trust in him.

I offer the example of the Griswold Nine. These nine students took over the hallway outside Mr. Clark's office for twenty-four hours in order to voice their opposition to his handling of the diversity issue. Whether these students were right or wrong is irrelevant here. What matters is Mr. Clark's handling of the situation and how this handling was perceived on campus.

One of Mr. Clark's initial responses was to convene the Administrative Board and call for action. The Ad. Board did call a hearing which occurred several weeks later. This period of time was very difficult for the students who were living under constant threat of expulsion. Ultimately eight of the students stood trial (one had plea bargained out) and were convicted of wrongdoing, although their punishment was little more than a warning.

And what was the campus reaction to having eight convicts walking amongst them. Well, one of these convicts was elected President of the student body over a competent challenger. The *Harvard Crimson*, the only non-law school media allowed in the trial, called for Mr. Clark's resignation or removal the day after the trial. And immediately after the trial hundreds of students went to congratulate the defense. These students described Mr. Clark's actions as "pathetic" and "sense-

less."

The prosecutor walked out talking to no one but the assistant he brought with him.

Everyone believed that Mr. Clark had handled the situation poorly. Mr. Clark had lost any shred of moral force he may have had up until that point. Now it was gone. Not even people who supported his positions felt that he handled this situation in the best possible way.

Mr. Clark was able to direct the course of events, but he could not lead. And that is where we are today.

In evaluating Mr. Clark's performance as Dean we must first choose a fair standard. This may seem like a difficult task since we may feel that we must begin by trying to define words like "adequate" or "good." But luckily Mr. Clark has already given us a standard by which to judge the performance of non-tenured faculty (he does not have tenure to be Dean.) Harvard Law School, in order to maintain its excellence, must have the best possible person for the job. A person who has lost the power to lead is not the best person for the job, therefore Mr. Clark should be removed.

Some people reading this may feel that Mr. Clark isn't really that bad. But the fact that so many people think that he is undermines his ability to lead effectively. In this case reality is shaped by those perceptions. Surely the best Dean is not someone whose resignation is called for by the most powerful student groups on campus as happened to Mr. Clark last year. Surely the best Dean does not try to discredit a student who is then elected President. Surely the best Dean does not rely on the raw exercise of power to silence students, and then fail even in this attempt.

What is to be done? Most mercifully we can hope that President Rudenstine will quietly remove Mr. Clark and begin a search for a new Dean which includes every segment of the campus community. Barring that, we the students must increase the pressures on Mr. Clark until we begin to see results which we know are just. Mr. Clark has shown his contempt for those with whom he disagrees too many times for us to be fooled again.

I hope our campus can be spared the bloodletting of last year. But it is Mr. Clark who has shown that communication will be allowed only to the extent that nothing actually has to change. The responsibility lies with him and those who allow him to remain Dean. Harvard Law School deserves a leader, not a director.

SAVE AT TPC!

TPC, Harvard's campus store, offers computers, peripherals, and software at educational discounts to members of the Harvard community. **Don't shop anywhere else until you check out Back-To-School bundles at special prices!**



TRADE-UP
DAY
September 23

Trade in your old
computer for credit
towards new!
Call for details.

Apple Macintosh

IBM PS/2

Tandon PCs

Zenith Laptops

Hewlett Packard Printers

Kodak Diconix
Portable Printers

Large Array of Software
including Microsoft

Canon Facsimile Machines

Accessories



TECHNOLOGY
Product Center

Computers at Educational Discounts

65 Rear Mt. Auburn St.
Cambridge • 495-5450
Hours: M 12-5, T-F 9-5

Eligibility applies to Harvard faculty, students, staff, departments and affiliate institutions.

STOLL, STOLL, BERNE, & LOKTING

PORTLAND, OREGON

Is interviewing 2L's and 3L's

on October 21 at Harvard Law School

SIGN-UP DEADLINE: OCTOBER 8

We are a small, growing firm, with a friendly atmosphere, in one of the most "liveable" cities in the country. We enjoy a sophisticated, intellectually challenging practice - specializing in major business litigation, chiefly as plaintiff counsel - usually found only in much larger firms.

Further information is available at the Harvard Law Placement Office, or call:
Kevin Keillor at (503) 227-1600

The Trial of the Griswold 9

continued from GRISWOLD 9, page 6

hundred students signed a petition requesting the date be moved back but the Ad Board denied the request. Students also sent an enormous number of letters to the Ad Board, most of them calling for leniency.

Prior to the hearing, the nine alleged that the Ad Board had abused student rights, specifically that the Ad Board had engaged in ex parte conversations with the Dean. After extensive discussion, the Ad Board agreed to ignore the testimony of the April 7th ex parte hearing.

The Ad Board offered a last minute deal to the students in an attempt to prevent the public hearing. The day before the start of the hearing, Elizabeth Moreno '93 accepted it by submitting a letter to the Board which together with the Board's response to her would constitute a warning.

The hearing began in Ames Courtroom, with many students unable to get in since the room was at full capacity. The Ad Board, comprised of Dean Sarah Wald, Registrar Sue Robinson, Secretary Suzanne Richardson, Professors Arthur Miller '58, James Vorenberg '51, David Shapiro '57, and students Juan Zuniga '92, Barry Langman '93 and Dorothy Dewitt '94 sat on one side on the U-shaped table; the prosecutor, Professor Detlev Vagts, assisted by Janet Katz, faced the students, Fisher and Cicchino.

The eight students entered to a standing ovation from the faculty, students and family attending the trial. The prosecution called two secretaries from the Dean's office, who testified to walking

Responsibilities and that 3) in this instance, the limited response of the students to such violations on the part of the Dean shouldn't in itself be considered a breach of the obligations imposed by the Statement.

After two days, about eleven hours in all, the hearing ended when the audience gave Professor Fisher a standing ovation.

Reactions to the trial were mixed. Jodi Grant '93 comments that "it was a very moving experience for both Professors and students." Another participant, Marie-Louise Ramsdale '93 complained about the lack of procedural due process. She stated that the only protection they did have was the ability to request an open hearing, which the Ad Board viscerated by deciding "public" only included members of the LSC community, a decision which prevented the outside press from covering the trial. Julie Su '94 agrees that the process was unfair, noting that "it's amazing that a place like HLS, where we learn about due process, had such egregious process violations in dealing with us."

But Dorothy DeWitt '94, a member of the Ad Board, explains that "we struggled to balance the procedural rights of the Griswold Nine with the need to resolve the hearings quickly, before the exam period commenced. I think the Ad Board hearing and the decision process were fair and consequently successful."

Camille Holmes '94 believes that "the people who sat in made an important statement. It's awful that they were put through that trial, forced to divert their attentions away from their studies to be prosecuted." However, she adds that "out of it came a sense of unity behind this

The Politics of Failure

By Rich Wareing '93

RECORD Columnist



"The simple man, honey, pays the bills, the bills that kill" — John Mellencamp

MUCH to my surprise, my hometown of New Bedford, MA made the front page of the Boston Globe. This caught me quite off guard, since the Globe rarely considers it worthwhile to mention the doings anywhere in Massachusetts outside of Route 495. Since there hasn't been a murder or hurricane in New Bedford lately, I figured I'd better break my rule against reading anything in the Globe except Dilbert and the sports page and take a look at what the article had to say.

The story was about how the E.P.A. has been jerking New Bedford around for about 10 years on the clean-up of several toxic waste sites there and about how they intend to build an incinerator for toxic waste right in the middle of a city of 100,000. Now, this got my temper up for a couple of reasons. First, the E.P.A., it seems, has been known on more than one occasion to jerk poor, powerless, communities around on clean-up while giving wealthier, better connected, communities first priority. Second, it also seems that it is S.O.P. at E.P.A. to cart away for off-site removal waste found in wealthier communities, while simultaneously burning it on site in poorer ones to save a few bucks.

This article got me thinking about the neighborhood where this is all going to happen. It is a crowded jumble of tenements, shops, and old factories. Not far from where this incinerator will go is Our Lady of the Immaculate Conception Church and the Madeira Sports Club, which are the nerve centers of the mostly immigrant and first generation Portuguese-American community in this neighborhood. Close by are the old fairgrounds where tens of thousands of Portuguese-Americans gather from all over the country every August to celebrate the Feast of the Blessed Sacrament every August. Just a couple blocks away is one of few grassy lots left in the city where kids can play football or soccer, or just screw around and be kids.

Because, however, it is a poor neighborhood, made up of mostly working-class families with very little education, it is without much clout. As such, it is pretty much at the mercy of a hard, and all too often unfair world, and must rely on government to look out for its interests. When the government to which these good people pay their taxes and for which many of them have fought and died, and in which they put their faith exploits their weakness and stabs them in the back, the whole idea of a government of and for the people becomes a sad joke.

This one neighborhood, however, is not alone in my hometown. Most of the once vibrant neighborhoods now hang on by a thread. The factories which helped build a nation and fight its wars, and the jobs in them, are mostly gone, leaving behind only the legacy of crumbling empty buildings and toxic waste dumped in the harbor, the river, and on back lots and open fields.

Because, however, New Bedford does not matter to the insiders, nothing is done about any of this. You see, in America justice comes by the dollar's worth and governmental aid and assistance is measured in \$1,000 contributions and old-boy political and bureaucratic connections.

Because New Bedford lacks all of these things, it is left to die. Where once there was a decent living, now there is only deepening unemployment and poverty. Where once neighbors could chat on their porches while their children played in the street or the old could walk safely at night, now drugs and violent crime force decent people to take refuge behind locked doors, praying for their safety. Where once there was hope for a house, a car, a better job, a college education for your kids, now there is only despair, hopelessness, a growing realization that for too many people the American dream is dead.

Unfortunately, New Bedford is not alone. A political system addicted to the narcotics of ideological posturing, incumbency at any cost, special interest hand-outs and tax exemptions to anyone with clout has left our nation with hundreds of pockets of forgotten despair. These dying industrial cities, mining towns, and small farming communities have been left behind in the new world order by a shameless generation of politicians and bureaucrats who identify the public good only with their own bankrolls and continued tenure in power. To them, places like New Bedford, are nameless, faceless, other worlds, where the streets have no name and the people who live on them do not matter.

The Board determined that "the appropriate sanction for each student in this matter should be limited to the imposition of a warning in that student's file," which would be revoked upon graduation provided there were no further infractions.

around the students and to the students treating them politely, Vice-Dean Smith, who was present through much of the sit-in, Rocco Forgione, who escorted the secretaries, Sargent Francis of the HUPD, and Administrative Dean Sandie Coleman, who detailed the costs incurred.

The defense called Professors David Charney, Chris Edley, Duncan Kennedy, and Frank Michaelman. They also called Raul Perez '94, who ran the communications between the Nine and the outside, and Julia Gordon '92, who testified as an "expert in comparative civil disobedience" at the Law School.

All eight students testified on their own behalf, detailing their commitment to the cause and explaining what drove them to sit-in. Vorenberg pressed the issue of instigation, asking each of the students "Were you one of the organizers?" None of the students accepted that label.

Rather than advance the most forceful arguments in summation, Professor Vagts expressed his remorse that HLS had to endure such a process, explaining that he only acted as prosecutor because nobody else would do it.

Professor Fisher gave a moving twenty minute closing, eloquently summarizing the defense. He argued that even if the students did disrupt the functioning of the Dean's offices, their conduct didn't violate the Statement of Rights and Responsibilities because: 1) the conduct of the Dean with respect to faculty diversity, responsiveness to students and his defense of faculty hiring practices didn't constitute "normal"; 2) that the Law School's own policies are in violation of the University's affirmative action policy and obligations imposed by other portions of the Statement of Rights and

issue and in support of these students that gives us hope for the future."

The Ad Board decision was released on May 8... The Board detailed the Board's factual findings and noted that all students had received letters from both the Dean and Vice-Dean Smith calling their attention to the obligations imposed by the Statement of Rights and Responsibilities not to interfere with the normal school activities by such conduct as sit-ins.

The Board went on to reject the students defenses, pointing out that the Statement is clear that "No violation of the rights of members of the University, nor any failure to meet responsibilities should be interpreted as justifying any violations of the rights of members of the University."

Noting that "the Board takes very seriously disruptive conduct of the kind engaged in here," the Board nevertheless determined that "the appropriate sanction for each student in this matter should be limited to the imposition of a warning in that student's file," which would be revoked upon graduation provided there were no further infractions.

The Board also noted that a new statement would be inserted in the Law School catalog to warn other students that the principal reason for the relatively minor sanction was that it was the first instance in which formal charges had been brought for this type of behavior.

The lasting effect of the proceeding is yet unknown, but student reactions are mixed. Jodi Grant '93, one of the Nine, feels that "it would be a travesty if it were all for naught." She adds that "most of us are still active and involved in CCR. For example, some of us in New York this summer gave out info. to Alumni at the

Southeby's reception and now that school is beginning, CCR is continuing to push for better faculty diversity."

Another protester, Elizabeth Moreno '93 comments that "when I think of the Spring, I think of Bob Clark refusing to take action against the Harvard Law Review's gang-bang upon the scholarship of Mary Joe Frug while at the same time vigorously attempting to suspend the presidents of the WLA, BLSA and AALSA, and also trying to get Sarah Wald fired. Bob Clark cannot remain Dean. If we played some part in making that fact evident, the sit-in was a success."

Not all students agree. Michael Twomey '93, past Law School Council president contends that "the takeover of the Dean's office was unfortunate and counter-productive. Nothing was gained except an opportunity for public posturing. Worse, the fact that the person subsequently elected LSC president was one of the protesters has certainly damaged the ability of the Council to deal with the administration. . . At a time when the LSC was poised to increase its responsibility and its effectiveness, I fear it is about to become an extension of CCR

with no credibility with the administration to implement needed reforms of interest to all students. . . I fear that a subset of the student community is determined to continue to create a divisive and counter-productive atmosphere on campus."

Marie-Louise Ramsdale, current LSC president, has a different opinion. She argues that "the trial really demonstrated the disempowerment and frustration felt by so many students at this law school." Referring to the Ad Board decision, Ramsdale continued that "we were disappointed that the Ad Board rejected our arguments justifying our actions. However, I remain convinced that only by students refusing to be silent or silenced will needed change arrive at this institution."

As Dorothy Dewitt explains, "there was concern that with the turnout for this hearing, the Ad Board could become a forum for raising consciousness on campus." She adds that "although I thought many important principles were at issue, I doubt that an administrative board hearing is the best place to propound them."

PATTISHALL, McAULIFFE, NEWBURY, HILLIARD & GERALDSON

invites you to meet
with
Mark Partridge, Harvard '81

on
October 1

LITIGATION

Domestic and International
Trademark, Unfair Competition, Copyright
and Computer Law

licensing • franchising • advertising • right of publicity
counterfeiting • parallel imports • trade secrets

Chicago, Illinois

Prof. Dershowitz Represents Mia Farrow in Custody Battle

continued from DERSH, page 1

explained. "I've always enjoyed his movies, and we share a strong identification: we grew up in the same neighborhood, we're from the same generation. By getting involved I hoped to help both sides resolve the matter in a non-litigation context and keep it from going public."

"Things only got difficult," Dershowitz recounted, "when, literally, while in a meeting that week, Allen's lawyers brought a lawsuit for custody." To Dershowitz, this disappointing move was a preemptive attempt by Allen's attorneys to deflect attention from the accusations against their client. "At that point," Dershowitz commented, "I felt it necessary to speak up on behalf of Farrow, which I did. And I felt it necessary to help her organize a legal team in New York, which I did."

It seems ironic to some that

Dershowitz, a well known advocate for American Jews, is now in the camp opposing Woody Allen, whose own "Jewishness" figures prominently into his films and public image. But when asked about this potential conflict of interest, Dershowitz emphatically responded, "I have never and would never decide which side of a case to take due to a person's religion or ethnicity. That would be bigotry and bias."

Farrow and Allen have recently met in closed chambers, where a judge encouraged the parties to work out their problems privately. Dershowitz will continue to advise Farrow in a legal capacity, although he hopes the parties can avoid a trial. "Settlement," he declared, "is in everyone's best interest."

Whatever the outcome of the Allen/Farrow controversy, the suit has already added to Dershowitz' status as a lawyer to the stars.



The embryonic stages of the Holmes Field Building.

CCR Lawsuit Dismissed

continued from CCR, page 1

Jeff Lubell '93, coordinator of the CCR law suit committee, also expressed both disappointment and cautious optimism. "We're extremely disappointed at the callous decision of the Supreme Judicial Court to ignore the situation at Harvard Law School," said Lubell. "It was a very poorly reasoned decision. It was a strict common law decision which didn't consider public policy or analogous federal law." While nothing is definite we are considering further legal action."

"I'm sad to see it go," said Camille Holmes '93. "Our lawsuit committee is currently formulating our next approach. Of course we were sad to see the decision come down the way it did, but we haven't lost hope. We will continue to work

toward ending discrimination." Whatever future legal actions may bring, Lubell feels that the lawsuit has already served a highly valued purpose.

"It was a victory that we even got to the Supreme Court at all. We brought attention to the diversity movement and the lack of women on the HLS faculty," added Lubell. "And there are still many things that can be done."

CCR, which represents six minority student groups and the Women's Law Association, originally filed suit against Harvard in Massachusetts state court on November 20, 1990. Their complaint was dismissed by the Superior Court in February, 1991. The students then appealed to the Supreme Judicial Court which resurrected the suit by agreeing to hear oral arguments in March.

Construction at HLS

continued from BUILDING, page 1

Hark cafeteria.

Other improvements to the cafeteria include approximately one hundred new chairs, which replaced the worst wobblers, and new, brighter signs. Due to the promotion of Jill —, the Hark has a new manager, Beth Davison, who Lamentea says "has a lot of energy, a lot of enthusiasm, and came highly recommended."

The dorms also got a face-lift this summer, as the exterior of North Hall demonstrates. A Harvard-style brick pier and rod-iron fence, new landscaping was added to both the Massachusetts Avenue side and the Window Street side, and the exterior

metal panels were painted a nice-looking dark green. Paul Mosquera '93 thinks that "the changes blend in well with the neighborhood and make the building look more distinguished."


In addition, building operations added another ramp in the front and an elevator to the basement to make the dorm more accessible to disabled people.

The interiors of the other dorms were also improved. New hall carpeting has been installed in all the Gropius dorms, as well as the rooms in Shaw and Hastings. Shaw and Story residents can look forward to a warmer winter thanks to brand new windows and the residents of Wyeth have new paint and upgraded electrical systems.




Frank Lamentea.

New Faces on the HLS Faculty



JOSEPH H.H. WEILER is a specialist in European Community Law. He comes to HLS from Michigan Law School, where he was a Professor from 1985 to 1992. Weiler received an LL.B. in 1977 and an LL.M. in 1982 from Cambridge University, a Diploma of International Law in 1978 from the Hague Academy and a Ph.D. in European Law from the European University in 1982.



JOSEPH SINGER graduated cum laude from HLS in 1981. After graduation, Singer clerked for Justice Morris Pashman of the Supreme Court of New Jersey. He specializes in property law, conflict of laws, American Indian law, and jurisprudence. Singer was an Associate Professor at Boston University School of Law from 1984 to 1990 and a Professor from 1990. Last year he was a Visiting Professor at HLS.

JON HANSON comes to HLS after completing a postdoctorate fellowship at Yale Law School. He received his J.D. in 1990 from Yale Law School, where he was an Articles Editor for the Yale Law Journal. After receiving his law degree, Hanson clerked for Judge Jose Cabranes of the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut.

PHOTO
NOT
AVAILABLE

CHRISTINE DESAN has just finished a term in the U.S. Department of Justice where she represented the United States in a number of cases before the Supreme Court. Prior to that, Desan clerked for Judge Stephen Breyer at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit. Dean received a J.D./M.A.L.D. in 1987 from Yale Law School and the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy at Tufts University.



Many Changes in HLS Faculty

continued from FACULTY, page 1

White Men' who received tenure offers in the midst of last spring's contentious atmosphere. Professor Henry Hansmann of Yale Law School remains alone as the only one of the four yet to accept the tenure offer.

Professor Singer, a specialist in property law, and Professor Weiler, an expert on European Community Law, began their tenure at HLS this year. Professor Robert Mnookin of Stanford Law School will start teaching at the law school next fall.

"Joseph Singer is an outstanding teacher and scholar," said Dean Clark. "His appointment fills an important need in the teaching of property in the first year. Singer's knowledge will bring new insights into traditional and emerging fields of law."

Weiler comes to HLS from Michigan Law School where he taught between 1985 and 1992. His research and writing address the law and institutions of the European Community.

Professor David Kennedy, Director of the European Law Research Center, spoke highly of his new colleague. "Joseph Weiler is an outstanding scholar and teacher. I am extremely pleased that he will join the faculty. His affiliation with the law school and the European Law Research Center expands the breadth and depth of our research capabilities and hastens the center's development into the foremost organization for the study of European Law in North America."

At the same time that these new professors are joining the faculty, longtime HLS professor Derrick Bell was severed from the faculty in August because of his refusal to return to the law school after a

two-year absence to protest the lack of a female African-American professor.

Bell requested an extension of his leave of absence so that he could remain on the faculty while continuing his protest. In mid August, however, the Harvard Corporation decided not to extend Bell's leave of absence, which ended on June 30, 1992.

By not returning for this academic year, Bell effectively resigned his position.

In an August 20, 1992 statement, the


Harvard Corporation stated that, "Professor Bell stressed his devotion to the cause of racial justice and the efforts he has made over the years to advance that cause. We recognize and admire those efforts, but we do not believe that they serve as a basis for exempting Professor Bell from the university policy limiting faculty leaves of absence."

"That policy is not a technicality," the statement continued. "It is an


important means to ensure that faculty members are present at Harvard, on a regular basis, to carry out the responsibilities they are committed to perform."

Though Bell has been dismissed from the faculty, there is ample precedent for his return to HLS at some point in the future. In the past, Professors Charles Fried and David Shapiro also lost tenure appointments at HLS because their leaves of absence exceeded the two-year period. Nonetheless, they subsequently were reappointed to the faculty.

In addition to Weiler and Singer, four more professors joined the permanent faculty this summer as assistant professors. They are Christine Desan, Carol Steiker, Jon Hanson, and Bruce Hay.




Prof. Derrick Bell.



BRUCE L. HAY is a specialist in civil procedure and regulatory policy. He received a J.D. from HLS in 1988 and was awarded the Fay Diploma for having the highest combined third-year average. He also received the Sears Prize in 1987. After graduation, Hay clerked for U.S. Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia '60 and Judge William Norris of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

CAROLE STEIKER, who graduated from HLS in 1986, has built a reputation as one of D.C.'s top public defenders since 1988. As a first year student she won the Sears Prize, and went on to become President of the Harvard Law Review. Upon graduation she clerked for Justice Skelley Wright on the D.C. Circuit, and later for Justice Thurgood Marshall on the United States Supreme Court.



ROBERT H. MNOOKIN, a specialist in negotiation, was a visiting professor at HLS in the 1990-91 academic year. He received his law degree in 1968 from Harvard and went on to clerk for Judge Carl McGowan of the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals and then for Justice John Harlan on the U.S. Supreme Court.

"HOW TO CHOOSE A LAW FIRM"

*A panel discussion on issues to
consider when choosing a law firm*

will be held on

Tuesday, September 22nd

Austin East

6:00 p.m. — 8:00 p.m.

There will be free food and drink

OPEN TO ALL STUDENTS

SPONSORED BY BLSA PLACEMENT COMMITTEE